## **Caring for Patients With Multiple Chronic Conditions**

Mary E. Tinetti, MD; Ariel R. Green, MD, MPH; Jennifer Ouellet, MD; Michael W. Rich, MD; and Cynthia Boyd, MD, MPH

ultimorbidity, the coexistence of multiple chronic conditions, is common among all adults receiving health care and the norm among older adults. Almost 15 years ago, we raised concerns about the limitations of disease-focused, guideline-based medication prescribing (and the randomized clinical trials that inform it) for older adults with multimorbidity (1, 2). At that time, we noted the following 9 limitations of such care: Results observed in younger study participants without multimorbidity may not apply to older adults with multimorbidity, prescribing based on survival or diseasespecific outcomes may be inadequate for patients with competing risks from multiple diseases and whose quality of life and functional status may take priority over other outcomes, it may be difficult to identify harms or benefits of medications that we expect patients to receive over many years on the basis of trials lasting only a few months or years, attention to the potential harms of following individual disease recommendations in the face of multiple coexisting conditions may be lacking, adhering to guidelines for multiple conditions may diminish benefits and increase burdens, the time to treatment benefit in the context of limited life expectancy may not be considered, the tradeoffs between better short-term quality of life without treatment and the long-term benefits of treatment may not be recognized, following multiple guidelines creates risk for drug-drug and disease-drug interactions, and methods for incorporating patients' preferences and priorities into guidelines are not available.

We concluded that "The proliferation of multidrug regimens demands that we consider health priorities as well as the marginal benefit and harm associated with all medications when translating disease guidelines into prescribing decisions . . . . Such an evolution from a disease-driven to a patient-driven focus requires an investment in research and changes in the development of guidelines, in the measurement of quality, and in clinical decision making" (2). That statement remains as relevant today as it was then, if not more so, because both persons with multimorbidity and the medications to treat them have increased.

There has been some progress. Although not limited to patients with multimorbidity, the Choosing Wisely campaign has advocated for avoidance of unnecessary treatments. Trial enrollees continue to be healthier than clinical populations (3), but an increased number of older adults with multiple chronic conditions are participating. The National Institutes of Health encourages the inclusion of participants across the lifespan, including those with multimorbidity. Recent advances in the development of guidelines have increased their relevance for persons with multimorbidity, and several guidelines now directly address this subject (4–6). Some guidelines now directly address this subject (4–6).

lines acknowledge the uncertainty of benefit and the importance of avoiding harms; for example, a diabetes guideline from the American Geriatrics Society recommends higher target hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  levels and prioritizes avoiding hypoglycemia in older adults (7). Acknowledging the importance of deprescribing unnecessary medications is nearly mainstream (8). Guiding principles for the care of older adults with multimorbidity emphasize the importance of focusing on patient and family preferences; considering the prognosis and complexity of the treatment regimen when interpreting available evidence; and optimizing medications on the basis of the person, not just his or her individual diseases (9).

Despite this progress, guidelines continue to exist largely in silos that focus on individual diseases. Tools to help patients identify their goals and preferences are available but not yet routinely integrated into clinical decision making, except perhaps at the end of life. Even when clinicians elicit patients' goals and preferences, they struggle to translate them into clinical decisions. Notwithstanding the uncertain net benefit of many medications for older adults with multimorbidity, clinicians and patients are cajoled to "get with the guidelines." Measures that quality- and value-based payments are based on remain largely focused on individual diseases. Although some groups advocate replacing disease-focused metrics with more patient-centered ones, progress is slow.

Incentives continue to support aligning medication decision making with disease-focused guidelines rather than patient priorities, but there are things that clinicians can do today. A good starting point for such decision making is understanding, acknowledging, and communicating the uncertain net benefit of many medications in older adults with multimorbidity (9). Uncertainty means that there is no 1 best approach and thus opens the door to filtering treatment options through the lens of each patient's health priorities. Clinicians should become familiar with and use the tools shown in the Table to ascertain these priorities (10). Together, the clinician and patient should consider the probable prognosis and health trajectory, balance of benefit versus harm, and outcomes (which are often functional) that matter most to the patient when deciding whether to start, continue, or stop therapy with any medication (9). Is the potential benefit of the medication worth the potential harm and burden, and is the medication likely to benefit the outcomes that matter to the patient?

Every clinician, whether a generalist or a specialist, should consider the total burden of a patient's medication regimen and not just the subset of medications that he or she has prescribed. Each clinician who prescribes medications is responsible for the effect of all medications on that patient, including those prescribed

Table. Clinical Tools to Support Decision Making for Older Adults With Multiple Chronic Conditions	
Clinical Issue	Tool
Identify patients' health priorities and incorporate them into clinical decision making	Persons with multiple chronic conditions  Tools for decision making aligned with patient priorities, including Tinetti M, Naik A, Dindo L. Conversation guide and manual for identifying patients' health priorities. Patient Priorities Care. 2018. Accessed at https://patientprioritiescare.org/resources/clinicians-and-health-systems on 12 December 2018.  American Geriatrics Society. Framework for decision-making for older adults with multiple chronic conditions: action steps for the AGS guiding principles on the care of older adults with multimorbidity. Accessed at www.GeriatricsCareOnline.org on 16 January 2019.  Persons with serious illness  Ariadne Labs. Serious illness conversation guide. 2018. Accessed at www.ariadnelabs.org/areas-of-work/serious-illness-care/resources/#Downloads&%20Tools on 16 November 2018.  University of California. Prepare for Your Care. 2018. Accessed at www.prepareforyourcare .org on 16 November 2018.
Determine prognosis and health trajectory	University of California, San Francisco. ePrognosis. 2018. Accessed at https://eprognosis.ucsf .edu on 16 November 2018.
Deprescribe	Bruyere. Deprescribing guidelines and algorithms. 2018. Accessed at https://deprescribing.org/resources/deprescribing-guidelines-algorithms on 16 November 2018.

by other clinicians. We care for patients, not diseases. We must be willing to work collaboratively with patients and other clinicians when perspectives differ, remembering that there is no 1 best answer for patients with multimorbidity. Aligning care with patients' priorities, even if this means not following guideline recommendations, is the core of patient-centered care for persons with multimorbidity. It requires that clinicians be proficient in deprescribing and decision making based on patient priorities, essential skills in caring for the growing population of adults (and particularly older adults) with multiple chronic conditions.

From Yale School of Medicine and Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut (M.E.T., J.O.); Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland (A.R.G., C.B.); and Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri (M.W.R.).

**Disclosures:** Disclosures can be viewed at www.acponline.org /authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M18-3269.

Corresponding Author: Mary E. Tinetti, MD, Section of Geriatrics, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, PO Box 208025, New Haven, CT 06520-8025; e-mail, mary.tinetti@yale.edu.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at Annals.org.

Ann Intern Med. 2019;170:199-200. doi:10.7326/M18-3269

## References

- 1. Boyd CM, Darer J, Boult C, Fried LP, Boult L, Wu AW. Clinical practice guidelines and quality of care for older patients with multiple comorbid diseases: implications for pay for performance. JAMA. 2005;294:716-24. [PMID: 16091574]
- 2. Tinetti ME, Bogardus ST Jr, Agostini JV. Potential pitfalls of disease-specific guidelines for patients with multiple conditions. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:2870-4. [PMID: 15625341]

- 3. Wright JT Jr, Williamson JD, Whelton PK, Snyder JK, Sink KM, Rocco MV, et al; SPRINT Research Group. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373:2103-16. [PMID: 26551272] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1511939
- 4. Uhlig K, Leff B, Kent D, Dy S, Brunnhuber K, Burgers JS, et al. A framework for crafting clinical practice guidelines that are relevant to the care and management of people with multimorbidity. J Gen Intern Med. 2014;29:670-9. [PMID: 24442332] doi:10.1007/s11606-013-2659-y
- 5. Arnett DK, Goodman RA, Halperin JL, Anderson JL, Parekh AK, Zoghbi WA. AHA/ACC/HHS strategies to enhance application of clinical practice guidelines in patients with cardiovascular disease and comorbid conditions: from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:1851-6. [PMID: 25219921] doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.012
- 6. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Kansagara D, Horwitch C, Barry MJ, Forciea MA; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  targets for glycemic control with pharmacologic therapy for nonpregnant adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a guidance statement update from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2018;168:569-76. [PMID: 29507945] doi:10.7326/M17-0939
- 7. American Geriatrics Society. Guidelines for improving the care of older adults with diabetes mellitus: 2013 update. 2013. Accessed at https://geriatricscareonline.org/ProductAbstract/guidelines-for-improving-the-care-of-the-older-adult-with-diabetes-mellitus-2013 -update-and-supplemental-information/CL008# on 16 November 2018.
- 8. Scott IA, Hilmer SN, Reeve E, Potter K, Le Couteur D, Rigby D, et al. Reducing inappropriate polypharmacy: the process of deprescribing. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:827-34. [PMID: 25798731] doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0324
- 9. American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on the Care of Older Adults with Multimorbidity. Patient-centered care for older adults with multiple chronic conditions: a stepwise approach from the American Geriatrics Society: American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on the Care of Older Adults with Multimorbidity. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60:1957-68. [PMID: 22994844] doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04187.x
- 10. Naik AD, Dindo LN, Van Liew JR, Hundt NE, Vo L, Hernandez-Bigos K, et al. Development of a clinically feasible process for identifying individual health priorities. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018;66:1872-9. [PMID: 30281794] doi:10.1111/jgs.15437

**Current Author Addresses:** Drs. Tinetti and Ouellet: Section of Geriatrics, Yale School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, PO Box 208025, New Haven, CT 06520-8025.

Dr. Green: Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center, Mason F. Lord Center Tower, 7th Floor, 5200 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21224.

Dr. Rich: Cardiovascular Division, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Avenue, Box 8086, St. Louis, MO 63110

Dr. Boyd: Johns Hopkins Center on Aging and Health, 7th Floor, 5200 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21224.

**Author Contributions:** Conception and design: M.E. Tinetti, J. Ouellet, C. Boyd.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: A.R. Green, C. Boyd. Drafting of the article: M.E. Tinetti, J. Ouellet.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: M.E. Tinetti, A.R. Green, J. Ouellet, M.W. Rich, C. Boyd. Final approval of the article: M.E. Tinetti, A.R. Green, J. Ouellet, M.W. Rich, C. Boyd.

## CORRECTION: CARING FOR PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE CHRONIC CONDITIONS

In a recent article (1), an additional tool to support decision making for older adults with multiple chronic conditions was omitted from the table. The additional tool is: American Geriatrics Society. Framework for decision-making for older adults with multiple chronic conditions: action steps for the AGS guiding principles on the care of older adults with multimorbidity. Accessed at www.GeriatricsCareOnline.org on 16 January 2019.

## Reference

1. Tinetti ME, Green AR, Ouellet J, Rich MW, Boyd C. Caring for patients with multiple chronic conditions. Ann Intern Med. 2019;170:199-200. [PMID: 30665237] doi:10.7326/M18-3269